SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

TABLE S4 | Analysis of molecular variance for eight distinct scenarios of population structure of *Rhinoptera bonasus*. Collection areas: Santa Catarina (SC), São Paulo (SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Pernambuco (PE) and Pará (PA). * Φ_{ST} values are significant at p < 0.05.

		Source of variation	Sum of squares	Variance component	% of variation	Fixation index	p value
	All sampling areas*	Among groups	17.511	0.15334	12.58	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.12589$	0.0000±0.0000
		Within groups	134.15	1.06476	87.41		
1	(SC + SP + RJ + PE) vs. (PA)*	Among groups	2.408	0.06558	9.64	$\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.09636$	0.1915±0.0147
		Among populations within groups	3.762	0.02533	3.72	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.04119$	0.0430±0.0059
		Within population	78.417	0.58960	86.64	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.13359$	0.0019±0.0013
2	(SC + SP + RJ) vs. (PE + PA)*	Among groups	2.699	0.03561	5.49	$\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.0914$	0.0948±0.0088
		Among populations within groups	3.471	0.02370	3.65	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0386$	0.0430±0.0060
		Within population	78.417	0.58960	90.86	Φ_{ST} = 0.0914	0.0019±0.0013
3	(SC + SP + RJ) vs. PE vs. PA	Among groups	3.342	0.0242	3.78	$\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.0377$	0.3128±0.01212
		Among populations within groups	2.828	0.0283	4.42	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0459$	0.03911±0.0073
		Within population	78.417	0.5896	91.80	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.0819$	0.0019±0.0013
4	(SC + SP) vs. RJ vs. (PE + PA)*	Among groups	4.831	0.0509	7.90	$\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.0790$	0.07527±0.0084
		Among populations within groups	1.339	0.0039	0.53	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0057$	0.3509±0.0154
		Within population	78.417	0.58960	91.57	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.08432$	0.0009±0.0009
5	(SC + SP) vs. RJ vs. PE vs. PA*	Among groups	5.473	0.04918	7.66	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = 0.0766	0.1896±0.0118
		Among populations within groups	0.696	0.0031	0.50	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0053$	0.3450±0.0138
		Within population	78.417	0.5896	91.84	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.0815$	0.0029±0.0016
6		Among groups	3.603	0.0101	1.61	$\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.01590$	0.3431±0.0164
	SC vs. (SP + RJ) vs. (PE + PA)*	Among populations within groups	2.567	0.0327	5.18	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.05038$	0.0263±0.0041
		Within population	78.417	0.5896	93.21	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.0679$	0.0048±0.0020
7	SC vs. SP vs. RJ vs. (PE + PA)*	Among groups	5.527	0.0379	6.40	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = 0.0600	0.28641±0.0177
		Among populations within groups	0.643	0.0038	0.62	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0065$	0.3255±0.0133
		Within population	78.417	0.5896	93.38	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.0661$	0.00391±0.0023
8	SC vs. (SP + RJ) vs. PE vs. PA	Among groups	4.246	-0.0073	-1.17	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = -0.0117	0.0058±0.0026
		Among populations within groups	1.924	0.0465	7.41	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.0732$	0.0136±0.0030
		Within population	78.417	0.5896	93.76	$\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.0623$	0.6041±0.0133

Neotropical Ichthyology





This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Distributed under

© 2021 The Authors.

Diversity and Distributions Published by SBI



Official Journal of the Sociedade Brasileira de Ictiologia

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

• Souza BC, Cruz VP, Almeida TRA, Sales JBL, Rodrigues-Filho LFS, Vianna M, Rotundo MM, Oliveira C, Foresti F. Genetic diversity assessment for the vulnerable migratory cownose ray *Rhinoptera bonasus* (Myliobatiformes: Rhinopteridae) from the southwestern Atlantic Ocean. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2021; 19(4):e210077. https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2021-0077

