
**Figure S1.** *A*, Subgroup analyses of angiography studies versus percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) studies. Comparison of the left radial approach (LRA) versus the right radial approach (RRA) for fluoroscopy time. *B*, Subgroup analyses of angiography studies versus PCI studies. Comparison of the LRA versus the RRA for contrast volume. *C*, Subgroup analyses of angiography studies versus PCI studies. Comparison of the LRA versus the RRA for procedural time. See Table 1 of the article for numbers of all references cited.



	LRA			RRA			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI	
2.3.1 Angiography stud	dies									
Dominici 2013	89.92	32.6	204	88.88	35.35	209	9.4%	0.03 [-0.16, 0.22]	-	
Hu 2014	62	17	680	63	14	682	16.1%	-0.06 [-0.17, 0.04]	-	
Kanei 2011	111	52	91	106	63	98	5.5%	0.09 [-0.20, 0.37]	<del>-   -</del>	
Kawashima 2004	79	27	232	83	25	205	9.6%	-0.15 [-0.34, 0.04]		
Norgaz 2012	59.44	23.8	500	61.61	23.17	500	14.4%	-0.09 [-0.22, 0.03]		
Sciahbasi(Angio) 2011	65	32	735	68	35	732	16.5%	-0.09 [-0.19, 0.01]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2442			2426	71.4%	-0.07 [-0.13, -0.02]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.	.00; Chi2	= 3.21	, df = 5	5 (P = 0.	67); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.50 (F	P = 0.0	)1)	10.00	200					
2.3.2 PCI studies										
Freixa 2012	95.8	25.5	50	105.4	47.7	50	3.2%	-0.25 [-0.64, 0.14]	<del></del>	
Kado 2014	110.1	58.8	48	104.5	60	48	3.1%	0.09 [-0.31, 0.49]	<del></del>	
Pacchioni 2013	33	5	20	49	17	20	1.2%	-1.25 [-1.94, -0.57]	<b>←</b>	
Santas 2009	87	30	189	84	41	179	8.7%	0.08 [-0.12, 0.29]	<del>-  </del>	
Sciahbasi(PCI) 2011	160	92	344	165	91	344	12.3%	-0.05 [-0.20, 0.09]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			651			641	28.6%	-0.14 [-0.40, 0.11]	-	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.	.06; Chi2	= 14.9	98, df =	4 (P = 0	0.005); I	<sup>2</sup> = 73%	ó	350 0 30		
Test for overall effect: Z				1000	1510					
Total (95% CI)			3093			3067	100.0%	-0.06 [-0.14, 0.01]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.	.01; Chi²	= 18.3	32. df =	10 (P =	0.05); 1	2 = 45%	6		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
Test for overall effect: Z									-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1	
Test for subgroup differe				= 1 (P =	0.59). I	<sup>2</sup> = 0%			Favours LRA Favours RRA	

В

